



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

TAL
Docket No: 4315-13
11 April 2014

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10, United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 April 2014. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 27 February 1969 at age 18. You received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) on seven occasions for two instances of failure to obey a lawful regulation, two instances of unauthorized absence (UA) from your unit for a period totaling 14 days, six instances of insubordinate conduct toward a noncommissioned officer and breaking restriction. On 9 January 1973, you were convicted by summary court-martial (SCM) of four instances of failure to go to your appointed place of duty, three instances of UA from your unit for a period totaling seven days, failure to obey a lawful order from a commissioned officer and insubordinate conduct toward a noncommissioned officer. On 20 February 1973, you made a written request for discharge for the good of service to avoid trial by court-martial for failure

to go to your appointed place of duty, UA from your unit for a period of six days, four instances of insubordinate conduct toward a noncommissioned officer and two instances of breaking restriction. Prior to submitting this request you conferred with a qualified military lawyer at which time you were advised of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. Your request was granted and the commanding officer directed your other than honorable (OTH) discharge. As a result of this action, you were spared the stigma of a court-martial conviction and the potential penalties of a punitive discharge and confinement at hard labor. On 26 March 1973, you were discharged under OTH conditions.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth, overall record and Vietnam combat service. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given the seriousness and repetitiveness of your misconduct that resulted in seven NJPs, an SCM and request for discharge. The Board believed that considerable clemency was extended to you when your request for discharge to avoid trial by court-martial was approved. Further, the Board concluded that you received the benefit of your bargain with the Marine Corps when your request for discharge was granted and should not be permitted to change it now. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT D. ZSALMAN
Acting Executive Director